

# **RatingsDirect®**

## Rating Actions Taken On One Irish And Four U.K. Mortgage Covered Bond Programs Following Application Of Revised Criteria

### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Andrew O'Neill, CFA, London (44) 20-7176-3578; andrew.oneill@standardandpoors.com

#### **Secondary Contacts:**

Alice Delemarle-Charton, CFA, London (44) 20-7176-3594; alice.delemarle@standardandpoors.com Bernd Ackermann, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-153; bernd.ackermann@standardandpoors.com

#### OVERVIEW

- We have reviewed our ratings on one Irish and four U.K. mortgage covered bond programs under our revised covered bonds criteria published on Dec. 9, 2014.
- Following our review, we have affirmed our 'AAA' ratings on the four U.K. covered bond programs and related issuances, and raised to 'A+' from 'A' our ratings on AIB Mortgage Bank's covered bond program and related issuances.
- The outlook is stable on our ratings on the four U.K. covered bond programs and related issuances, and negative on our ratings on AIB Mortgage Bank's covered bond program and related issuances.

LONDON (Standard & Poor's) March 6, 2015--Standard & Poor's Ratings Services said today that it has completed its review of its ratings on one Irish and four U.K. mortgage covered bond programs by applying its revised criteria for rating covered bonds (see "Covered Bonds Criteria," and "Updated Cash Flow Assumptions For Modeling Certain Covered Bonds," both published on Dec. 9, 2014, on RatingsDirect). As a result, we have affirmed our 'AAA' ratings on all four U.K. covered bond programs and related issuances, and have raised to 'A+' from 'A' our ratings on AIB Mortgage Bank's covered bond program and related issuances (see list below).

Upon publishing our revised criteria, we had placed our ratings on those mortgage covered bond programs that could be affected by a published change in criteria "under criteria observation" (see "Covered Bond Program And Spanish Multicedulas Ratings Placed Under Criteria Observation," published on Jan. 12, 2015). Following our review, we have removed our under criteria observation identifier from the ratings on these programs.

Under our covered bonds criteria, we organize the analytical process for rating covered bonds into four key stages:

- Performing an initial analysis of issuer-specific factors--legal and regulatory risks and operational and administrative risks--which mainly assess whether a rating on the covered bond may be higher than the rating on the issuer.
- Assessing the starting point for the rating analysis, based on the applicable resolution regimes, to determine the reference rating level (RRL).
- Determining the maximum achievable covered bond rating from an analysis of jurisdictional and cover pool-specific factors.
- Combining the results of the above and incorporating any additional factors, such as counterparty risk and country risk, to assign the final covered bond rating.

After assessing the RRL, to determine a maximum achievable covered bond rating we analyze jurisdictional and collateral support. Our assessment of the expected jurisdictional support for U.K.-regulated mortgage covered bond programs is "strong," whereas for mortgage covered bond programs issued under specific legislation in Ireland, it is "very strong" (see "Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bond Criteria," published on Dec. 22, 2014).

Under our covered bonds criteria, the uplift from the RRL can be up to three notches when the jurisdictional support is assessed as "very strong," and up to two notches when the jurisdictional support is assessed as "strong." Under our analysis of jurisdictional support, we determine a jurisdiction-supported rating level (JRL), which is our assessment of the creditworthiness of a covered bond program once we have considered the level of jurisdictional support, but before giving credit to the amount of collateral. We give no benefit to jurisdictional support in our analysis (that is, zero incremental uplift for jurisdictional support), where the RRL is already at or above the level of the sovereign rating. In this case, the JRL is the same as the RRL.

Following the assessment of the RRL and JRL, we analyze the credit quality of the cover pool and the availability of liquidity support and committed overcollateralization to determine the maximum collateral uplift.

Lastly, the ratings on these programs are not constrained by legal, operational, country, or counterparty risks.

The rating outcome, our rationale, and our outlook for each mortgage covered

bond program follow below:

- We are raising to 'A+' from 'A' our ratings on AIB Mortgage Bank's covered bond program and related issuances. The ratings reflect our RRL of 'bb+' and JRL of 'bbb+' for the program, as well as three notches of uplift for collateral support. The maximum available uplift for collateral support is three notches, as extendible covered bond maturities mitigate liquidity risk, but the issuer provides overcollateralization that is commensurate with the covered bond ratings only on a voluntary basis. The negative outlook reflects the outlook on our long-term issuer credit rating (ICR) on Allied Irish Banks PLC. This is because we would lower our covered bond ratings if we lowered our ICR on Allied Irish Banks due to factors other than the reduction of extraordinary government support, all else remaining equal. We would not lower our covered bond ratings if we lowered our ICR on Allied Irish Banks due to the reduction of extraordinary government support, all else remaining equal.
- We are affirming our 'AAA' ratings on the Abbey National Treasury Services PLC Global Covered Bond Programme and related issuances. The ratings reflect our RRL of 'a' and JRL of 'aa-' for the program, as well as three notches of uplift for collateral support. The maximum available uplift for collateral support is four notches, as extendible covered bond maturities mitigate liquidity risk. The issuer has committed contractually to maintain overcollateralization that is commensurate with the covered bond ratings. The stable outlook reflects that the covered bond ratings benefit from a cushion of one unused notch of uplift that protects against a downgrade of the RRL. The stable outlook also reflects that we would not lower the RRL due to the reduction of extraordinary government support affecting the long-term ICR on Santander UK PLC.
- We are affirming our 'AAA' ratings on the Barclays Bank PLC Global Covered Bond Programme and related issuances. The ratings reflect our RRL of 'a' and JRL of 'aa-' for the program, as well as three notches of uplift for collateral support. The maximum available uplift for collateral support is four notches, as extendible covered bond maturities and a pre-maturity reserve mitigate liquidity risk. The issuer has committed contractually to maintain overcollateralization that is commensurate with the covered bond ratings. The stable outlook reflects that the covered bond ratings benefit from a cushion of one unused notch of uplift that protects against a downgrade of the RRL. The stable outlook also reflects that we would not lower the RRL due to the reduction of extraordinary government support affecting the long-term ICR on Barclays Bank PLC.
- We are affirming our 'AAA' ratings on the Bank of Scotland PLC Covered Bond Programme and related issuances. The ratings reflect our RRL of 'a' and JRL of 'aa-' for the program, as well as three notches of uplift for collateral support. The maximum available uplift for collateral support is four notches, as a pre-maturity reserve mitigates liquidity risk. The issuer has committed contractually to maintain overcollateralization that is commensurate with the covered bond ratings. The stable outlook reflects that the covered bond ratings benefit from a cushion of one unused notch of uplift that protects against a downgrade of the RRL. The

- stable outlook also reflects that we would not lower the RRL due to the reduction of extraordinary government support affecting the long-term ICR on Bank of Scotland PLC.
- We are affirming our 'AAA' ratings on the Nationwide Building Society Global Covered Bond Programme and related issuances. The ratings reflect our RRL of 'a' and JRL of 'aa-' for the program, as well as three notches of uplift for collateral support. The maximum available uplift for collateral support is four notches, as extendible covered bond maturities mitigate liquidity risk. The issuer has committed contractually to maintain overcollateralization that is commensurate with the covered bond ratings. The stable outlook reflects that the covered bond ratings benefit from a cushion of one unused notch of uplift that protects against a downgrade of the RRL. The stable outlook also reflects that we would not lower the RRL due to the reduction of extraordinary government support affecting the long-term ICR on Nationwide Building Society.

#### RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

#### Related Criteria

- Covered Bonds Criteria, Dec. 9, 2014
- Updated Cash Flow Assumptions For Modeling Certain Covered Bonds, Dec. 9, 2014
- Methodology And Assumptions For Ratings Above The Sovereign--Single-Jurisdiction Structured Finance, Sept. 19, 2014
- Europe Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Criteria--Structured Finance, Sept. 13, 2013
- Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions, June 25, 2013
- Covered Bond Ratings Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, June 26, 2012
- Covered Bonds Counterparty And Supporting Obligations Methodology And Assumptions, May 31, 2012
- Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012
- U.K. RMBS Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2011
- Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- Understanding Standard & Poor's Rating Definitions, June 3, 2009
- Update To The Cash Flow Criteria For European RMBS Transactions, Jan. 6, 2009
- Cash Flow Criteria for European RMBS Transactions, Nov. 20, 2003

#### Related Research

- Low Interest Rates Are Only Slowly Reviving Europe's Housing Markets,
  Feb. 5, 2015
- Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bond Criteria, Dec. 22, 2014

Rating Actions Taken On One Irish And Four U.K. Mortgage Covered Bond Programs Following Application Of Revised Criteria

- Assessments For Target Asset Spreads According To Our Covered Bond Criteria, Dec. 22, 2014
- Global Covered Bond Characteristics And Rating Summary Q4 2014, Dec. 19, 2014

RATINGS LIST

Covered Bond Program Rating

RATINGS AFFIRMED

U.K.: Mortgage Covered Bonds

Abbey National Treasury Services PLC Global Covered Bond Programme Regulated Covered Bonds

AAA/Stable

Barclays Bank PLC Global Covered Bond Programme Regulated Covered Bonds

AAA/Stable

Bank of Scotland PLC Covered Bond Programme Regulated Covered Bonds

AAA/Stable

Nationwide Building Society Global Covered Bond Programme Regulated Covered Bonds

AAA/Stable

Covered Bond Program Rating

To From

RATINGS RAISED

Ireland: Asset Covered Securities

AIB Mortgage Bank

A+/Negative A/Negative

#### **Additional Contact:**

 $Covered\ Bonds\ Surveillance; Covered\ BondSurveillance@standard and poors.com$ 

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.